

of establishing exactly what factors led to the magistrates' finding – noting that the file has now been destroyed – but any appeal hearing would take it as given that such issues had been taken into account.

In your letter dated 10 March 2013, you referred to various inaccuracies in the Record of Interview compiled by Dyfed-Powys Police following your taped interview of 4 June 2008. Again, if the Record of Interview contains any inaccuracies that were considered worth mentioning, your trial was the appropriate time to do so. You were advised of this previously, by Detective Superintendent Evans, in his letter to you of 26 March 2010, responding to your complaint over the issue. Such matters cannot, now, give rise to a potential ground of appeal.

Your submissions relating to “collusion” between the CPS and the CCRC have been responded to separately, in Mrs Berlin’s letter to you of 8 April 2013.

Our decision NOT to refer

The decision not to refer your conviction for an appeal has been made by a Commissioner on behalf of the CCRC. This letter sets out the reasons for that decision.

What happens next?

If we have got something wrong in this letter, you must tell us by 4 February 2016. If we do not hear from you before 4 February 2016, this decision will become final. You do not need to repeat the submissions you have already made to us, but you should contact us if any of the following apply.

- If you feel there are any serious **factual inaccuracies** or **errors in our legal analysis** in this letter, please put the details in writing.
- If you have **new information**, which the CCRC has not yet seen, and it may be crucial to your case, please put the details in writing.
- If you need **more time** to make further submissions to the CCRC, please write and tell us why you need extra time.